

On September 13, 2010, when the District of Metchosin passed its Communications Antenna Location and Consultation Policy, two other resolutions relating to the tower issue were also passed. Here they are:

(1) Moved and Seconded by Councillors Milne and Mitchell that Council direct staff to contact the Knights of Columbus and advise that the District of Metchosin does not support the tower in that location and urge them to not allow TELUS to install the tower on their property and request that they meet with District representatives to hear our concerns.

(2) Moved and Seconded by Councillors Gramigna and Milne that Council direct staff to request the following additional information and documentation from TELUS:

- Other sites considered, and rationale for selecting the proposed location;
- Evaluation of co-location (Industry Canada requires proponents to consider potential for co-location first), and documentation on why this was not an option;
- Frequency range, maximum output and maximum power density in microwatts/cm², both at the tower and at the nearest residence;
- A site plan showing location of antenna and support buildings, and distances to property lines and nearest residences;
- The potential affect on nearby electronic equipment by the facility, and any mitigation measures to counter impacts; and,
- The potential health affect on residents in the vicinity according to current independent research.

And that Council direct staff to advise TELUS of concerns regarding the process, and be requested to:

- Expand their initial public notification process to include all residents in the RR2 zone on the west side of Rocky Point Road, as well as any others within 500 m of the proposed location, and that the District of Metchosin be removed as one of the contacts on that notice;
- Hold an information meeting for residents, and provide all documentation from that meeting (attendees, minutes) to the District;
- Consider alternate sites that are better able to meet the District's objectives regarding resident safety and aesthetic concerns; and,

· Respect all aspects of the District's telecommunications tower/antenna consultation policy, recognizing that the policy was under development at the time of inquiries made to the District regarding the proposed site.